Mapping and architecture exploration

- Configure the resources, e.g. the size of an internal memory, width of a bus.
- Map the processes to the resources.
- “Compile” the processes in terms of the “services” provided by the mapped resources.

Effective scheduling of process operations mapped to a CPU is a key issue:
- reduce the context-switching between tasks for efficient execution
- increase data coherency among processes for efficient memory usage
Scheduling Classification

- **Dynamic scheduling**
  - Make all scheduling decisions at run-time
  - Context switch overhead

- **Static scheduling**
  - Make all scheduling decisions at compile-time
  - Reduce context switch overhead
  - Restricted to specification without data-dependent controls (e.g. if-then-else)

- **Quasi-static scheduling**
  - Allow specification to have data-dependent controls
  - Perform static scheduling as much as possible
  - Leave data-dependent choices resolved at run-time
Quasi-static scheduling

Sequentialize concurrent operations as much as possible.

A better starting point for code generation technologies:

- Straight-line code across function blocks
- Bounded memory usage during the code execution
Scheduling concurrent programs

while(1){
    read(START, N, 1);
    for(i=0,y=0;i<N;i++){
        read(DATA, d, 1);
        D = d * d;
        x[0] = D;
        read(DATA, d, 1);
        D = d * d;
        x[1] = D;
        y = y+x[0]+2*x[1];
    }
    write(OUT, y, 1);
}

while(1){
    read(IN, x, 2);
    y = y+x[0]+2*x[1];
}
write(PORT, y, 1);
write(OUT, y, 1);
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    read(START, N, 1);
    for(i=0,y=0;i<N;i++){
        read(IN, x, 2);
        y = y+x[0]+2*x[1];
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    write(OUT, y, 1);
}

while(1){
    read(DATA, d, 1);
    D = d * d;
    write(PORT, D, 1);
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D and E are in conflict. We call \{D, E\} an Equal Choice Set (ECS).
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Scheduling concurrent programs

while(1){
    read(START, N, 1);
    for(i=0,y=0;i<N;i++){
        read(IN, x, 2);
        y = y+x[0]+2*x[1];
    }
    write(OUT, y, 1);
}

while(1){
    read(DATA, d, 1);
    D = d * d;
    write(PORT, D, 1);
}

D and E are in conflict. We call \{D, E\} an Equal Choice Set (ECS).
Scheduling concurrent programs

- One node $r$ associated with the initial marking.
- All and only transitions of an enabled ECS from each node.
- A path to the node $r$ from each node.
Finding a schedule on the Petri net

- Two checks when a node is created:
  1. Termination conditions
  2. Ancestor with the same marking

\[ \text{the node at which a cycle was found.} \]
Finding a schedule on the Petri net
Generating code from a schedule

Start: read(START, N, 1); i=0; y=0;

DE: if(i < N) {
    read(DATA, d, 1); D = d*d; x[0] = D;
    read(DATA, d, 1); D = d*d; x[1] = D;
    y=y+x[0]+2*x[1]; i++; goto DE;
}
else{ write(OUT, y, 1); goto Start; }

OUT
Properties of the algorithm

- **Claim1:**
  If the algorithm terminates successfully, a schedule is obtained.
  - The schedule provides an upper bound on memories required for communicating data.

- **Claim2:**
  If the algorithm does NOT terminate successfully, no schedule exists under given termination conditions.
Improving efficiency

- Which transition to choose at each node?
  - Find sequences of transitions to create cycles.
    T-invariants: a basis of the linear system \( A x = 0 \)
    \[ A[i, j]: \# \text{ of tokens produced to the i-th place by the j-th transition.} \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\text{DATA} & A & B & \text{START} & C & D & E & F & \text{OUT} \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

- Choose a T-invariant using a heuristic, and use it as much as possible.
Finding a schedule on the Petri net

```
DATA A B
START
C
D E
p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6 p7 p8 p9

r (p2 p6)

START
v1 (p2 p5 p6)
C
v2 (p2 p7)
D
E
v3 (p2 p6 p9)
v5 (p2 p8)

DATA A
B

F

v6 (p2 p4 p4 p8)
```
The MPEG2 decoder

- Part of a complete commercial video decoder
- Described in YAPI (developed at Philips)
  - based on Kahn Process Network
  - partially translated into FlowC to apply QSS
- 11 processes
  - 5000 lines of code of C++ code
- 45 FIFOs
The MPEG2 decoder

```
smbc = prop.skipped_cnt;
while (smbc > 0) {
    DoPredictionSkipped(<params>);
    Write(mbOut, mb_p);
    smbc--;
}
/* Other Predict stuff here */

smbc = mb_prop.skipped_cnt;
while (smbc > 0) {
    Read(mbIn, mb_p);
    Write(mb_dOut, mb_p);
    smbc--;
}
/* Other Add stuff here */
```
The MPEG2 decoder

```c
smbc = prop.skipped_cnt;
while (smbc > 0) {
    DoPredictionSkipped(<params>);
    Write(mbOut, mb_p);
    smbcb--;
}
/* Other Predict stuff here */

smbc = mb_prop.skipped_cnt;
while (smbc > 0) {
    Read(mbIn, mb_p);
    Write(mb_dOut, mb_p);
    smbcb--;
}
/* Other Add stuff here */

Predict_smbc = Predict_prop.skipped_cnt;
Add_smbc = Add_mb_prop.skipped_cnt
looplabel:
    (void) (Add_smbc > 0);
    if (Predict_smbc > 0) {
        DoPredictionSkipped(<params>);
        Write(mbOut, Predict_mb_p, 1);
        Read(mbIn, Add_mb_p, 1);
        Write(mb_dOut, Add_mb_p, 1);
        Predict_smbc--;
        Add_smbc--;
        goto looplabel;
    } else if (!(Predict_smbc > 0) {
```
The MPEG2 decoder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>MPEG</th>
<th>TestBench</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>vld+hdr</td>
<td>5 blocks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSS</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5 blocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSS</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Performance improved by 45%
  - reduction of communication (no internal FIFOs between statically scheduled processes)
  - reduction of run-time scheduling (OS)
  - no reduction in computation
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False paths in scheduling concurrent programs

```
while(1){
    N=read(IN);
    write(X, N);
    for(i=0;i<N;i++){\n        write(Y, D[i]);
        E[j]=read(Y);
    }
}
```

```
while(1){
    M=read(X);
    for(j=0;j<M;j++){\n        write(Y, D[i]);
    }
    E[j]=read(Y);
}
```
The false path problem arises very often in practice.

With this problem, the scheduling algorithm blows up, or produces huge schedules.
Our previous approach for the false path problem

1. Manually change the input program to eliminate the false paths:
   [Arrigoni et. al, 2002]

   • Effective if specified correctly.
   • The additional burden to the user may not be practical.
Our previous approach for the false path problem

2. Compute sets of values of variables at each state:

[Cousot, 1976]

- Restrictions on arithmetic operations to be handled.
- Restrictions on the problem sizes.
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A certain pattern exists beyond the false states in the reachability tree:

- The pattern is observed in typical dataflow applications we have in practice.
- The pattern makes a scheduler fail to find a (finite) schedule.
- The pattern is caused by a structural property of the input Petri net.
Cong's observation
Cong’s observation

- **Transition dependency**
  
  A transition $s$ requires a transition $t$, $s \Rightarrow t$, if for any T-invariant of the Petri net, if it contains $s$, then it also contains $t$.
  
  - T-invariant: a solution of the marking equations. I.e., a set of instances of transitions such that if all and only the instances of the set are fired, the resulting marking is same as before.

  ![Petri Net Diagram]

  T-invariants (minimal): $\{A, B, D, E, G\}$
  
  ECS1=$\{B, C\}$ and ECS2=$\{F, G\}$ are recurrent:

  $\{A, B, D, E, G\}$
  
  $\{C, F, H\}$

  $B \Rightarrow G$ and $F \Rightarrow C$

  $C \Rightarrow F$ and $G \Rightarrow B$

- **Recurrent ECS’es**

  Two distinct ECS’es, ECS1 and ECS2, are recurrent if there exist distinct elements $\{s_i, s_j\} \subseteq$ ECS1 and $\{t_k, t_l\} \subseteq$ ECS2, such that $s_i \Rightarrow t_k$ and $t_l \Rightarrow s_j$. 
Proposition

No schedule of a given Petri net contains recurrent ECS’es.

Proof:

What to show: any attempt to include one of recurrent ECS’es in a schedule leads to either a deadlock or infinite paths.

Say ECS1 and ECS2 are recurrent, with \{si, sj\} ⊆ ECS1 and \{tk, tl\} ⊆ ECS2 and si ⇒ tk and tl ⇒ sj.

- A schedule needs to include all resolutions of an ECS contained in it.
- Any instance of ECS1 in the reachability tree has a path such that
  - the path starts with si, and
  - tk and sj do not appear in the path, and
  - the path ends with a deadlock, or else is infinite (no repeated marking in the path).
- Had a schedule contained ECS1, there is its instance for which the path above does not have any marking repeated in the pre-history of the path in the schedule.
ECS1={B,C} and ECS2={F,G} are recurrent:
1. C ⇒ F and G ⇒ B
2. B ⇒ G and F ⇒ C
Cong’s observation: summary

- Recurrent ECS’es lead the schedule search to either deadlock or endless.
- The recurrence between ECS’es is a structural property of a Petri net.
  - The proposition holds regardless of markings.
  - The property can be found with a structural analysis.

Directions of research with Cong’s observation:

1. Application to the false path problem
2. Application to the schedulability analysis
3. Extension of the notion of recurrent ECS’es
Directions of research with Cong’s observation

1. Application to the false path problem

```
1,4
A
2,X,4
B C
1,5 3,Y,5
2,5
3,Y,6
2,Y,6
1,6
F
G
D
H
```
Concluding remarks

• Static scheduling of concurrent programs finds attractive applications in practice.

• Petri nets with abstracted control flow seem to be a reasonable model, but the false path problem stands as a showstopper.

• Previous attempts directly looked at data-value analysis.

• Cong’s observation deals with structural properties of the Petri nets:
  – A strong proposition, independent of markings
  – A mechanism of a failure of a schedule search revealed
  – While not directly applicable to the false path problem, it may lead to an effective way to address the problem.
Directions of research with Cong’s observation

2. Application to the schedulability problem

Question: when does the other direction of Cong’s proposition hold? I.e.

Given two distinct ECS’es, suppose that no schedule contains either of them. Are these ECS’es recurrent then?
Directions of research with Cong’s observation

3. Extension of the notion of recurrent ECS’es
Directions of research with Cong’s observation

3. Extension of the notion of recurrent ECS’es

ECS1={B,C} , ECS2={I,J} , ECS3={E,F} , ECS4={L,M}

C ⇒ I ∨ L
F ⇒ I ∨ L
I ⇒ C ∨ F
L ⇒ C ∨ F
B ⇔ J
B ⇔ M
E ⇔ J
E ⇔ M

None of them is recurrent to each other.