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What is Caltrop?

Caltrop is a (textual) language for writing dataflow actors.

- It compiles (one day) against the Ptolemy API (Pt/Java).
- ... but is intended to be retargetable, and usable in a wide variety of contexts.
- It is designed as a domain-specific language.
Can you guess what this does?

actor A (Double k)
    Double Input1, Double Input2 ==> Double Output:
        action [a], [b] ==> [k*(a + b)] end
end
Or this?

```plaintext
actor B ()
    Double Input ==> Double Output:

    Integer n := 0;
    Double sum := 0;

    action [a] ==> [sum / n] :
        n := n + 1;
        sum := sum + a;
    end
end
```
Motivation

Writing simple actors should be simple.

• But: The Ptolemy API is very rich, and writing actors in it requires considerable skill.

• However: Many Ptolemy actors have considerable commonalities - they are written in a stylized format.
Motivation

We should **generate** many actors from a more abstract description.

- **Benefits:**
  - reduces amount of code to be written
  - makes writing actors more accessible
  - reduces error probability
  - makes code more versatile
    - actors may be retargeted to other platforms, or new versions of the Ptolemy API
Why is Caltrop useful for me?

• For Ptolemy users:
  – Makes it easier to write atomic actors.
  – Makes Ptolemy accessible to a wider audience.

• For Ptolemy ‘hackers’:
  – Reduces possibilities for bugs.
  – Makes actors more reusable.
  – Enables analysis and efficient code generation.
Some language features
Multiple actions, action conditions

**actor** C ()
Double Input ==> Double Output:

```
action [a] ==> [a] where a >= 0 end

action [a] ==> [-a] where a < 0 end
```

**actor** D ()
Double Input ==> Double Output:

```
action [a] ==> [abs(a)] end
```


Nondeterminism

actor E ()
  Double Input ==> Double Output:

  action [a] ==> [a] end

  action [a] ==> [-a] end
end

- More than one action may be firable.
- Caltrop does not specify how this is resolved.
- It allows deterministic as well as nondeterministic implementations.
- Often, determinism can be ascertained statically.
Port patterns

```cpp
actor PairwiseSwap [T] ()
    T Input  ==> T Output:

    action [a, b] ==> [b, a] end
end
```

• examples
  - [a, b, c]
  - [a, b, c | s]
  - [ | s]
Repeated patterns

actor ReversePrefix [T] (Integer n)
  T Input  ==>  T Output:

    action  [a]  repeat n  ==>  [reverse(a)]  repeat n  end

end
Channel selectors

actor Switch [T] ()
    multi T Data, Integer Select  ==>  T Output:

    action [a] i, [i] ==> [a] end
end
Port tags

actor Switch [T] ()
  multi T Data, Integer Select  ==> T Output:

  action Data:: [a] i, Select:: [i] ==> [a] end
end

actor Switch [T] ()
  multi T Data, Integer Select  ==> T Output:

  action Select:: [i], Data:: [a] i ==> [a] end
end
Action tags, action selectors

\[
\text{actor FairMerge [T] ()}
\]
\[
\text{T Input1, T Input2 } \implies \text{T Output:}
\]
\[
\text{A: action Input1:: [a] } \implies [a] \text{ end}
\]
\[
\text{B: action Input2:: [a] } \implies [a] \text{ end}
\]
\[
\text{selector}
\]
\[
(AB)^* \\
\text{end}
\]
\[
\text{end}
\]

other selectors are conceivable, e.g.

- \((AB)^* \mid (BA)^*\)
- \(( (AB) \mid (BA) )^*\)
Action conditions, state

```
actor FairMerge [T] ()
    T Input1, T Input2 ==> T Output:

    Integer s := 1;

    action Input1:: [a] ==> [a] where s = 1:
        s := 2;
    end

    action Input2:: [a] ==> [a] where s = 2:
        s := 1;
    end
end
```
Overview of the implementation

general architecture

aspects of the Pt/Java implementation
Caltrop implementation —the big picture.

- Source text
- Caltrop AST
- Target platform

- Caltrop
  - Caltrop\(^0\)
  - Caltrop\(^1\)
  - Caltrop\(^n\)

- Parsing
- Transformation, annotation
- Code generation

- Split-phase Caltrop
- CalCore
  - MatLab?
  - DSP/FPGA?
  - Pt/Java
  - TinyOS/C?
  - ???
Caltrop implementation

- many small modules
  - transformers, annotaters, checkers
- transforming Caltrop into some language subset
- **CalCore**
  - small, semantically complete subset
  - minimal language for code generation, analysis, transformation
  - built on functional and procedural closures
Transformers & annotators

- replace control structures
- replace port patterns
- sort variable declarations
- replace operators
- propagate type information
- check static properties
- tag port patterns
- ...

Caltrop implementation

• Benefits:
  – Much of the ‘hard’ stuff can be done on the same data structure, exploiting the regularities of the Caltrop/CalCore semantics and the existing software infrastructure.
  – Code generators becomes relatively small, thus making retargeting easier.
  – Intermediate results are valid Caltrop programs, making debugging a lot easier.
    • We can look at them easily.
    • We can use the parser and the well-formedness/type checkers themselves as debugging tools!
Switch in CalCore*

```plaintext
actor Switch [T] ()
  multi T Data, Integer Select ==> T Output :

  action Select::[|G0], Data::[|G2] all ==> Output::[a]
    where G1, G3
    with
      Boolean G1 := available(G0, 1),
      Integer i := if G1 then G0[0] else null end,
      Integer G4 := i,
      Boolean G3 := available(G2[G4], 1),
      Integer a := if G3 then G2[G4][0] else null end
  end
end
```

*Actually, we are cheating here; CalCore is in fact even more primitive. And even less readable...
CalCore ==> Pt/Java

• different programming models
  – single atomic action vs prefire/fire^n/postfire
  – referentially transparent access to channels vs. token consuming read methods
  – stateful computation vs state-invariant fire
CalCore ==> Pt/Java

- mapping Caltrop notions to Pt/Java concepts
  - parameters ==> attributes + attribute changes
  - types and type checking
  - ...

What goes into `prefire()`?

```plaintext
actor Switch [T] ()
 multi T Data, Integer Select ==> T Output :

action Select::[|G0], Data::[|G2] all ==> Output::[a]
 where G1, G3
  with
   Boolean G1 := available(G0, 1),
   Integer i := if G1 then G0[0] else null end,
   Integer G4 := i,
   Boolean G3 := available(G2[G4], 1),
   Integer a := if G3 then G2[G4][0] else null end
end
```

All computation that is required in order to decide firability?
Just the part of it before the first token access?
prefire/fire

• aggressive vs defensive condition evaluation
• incrementally computing conditions
• reusing computation done in prefire
• Should tokens read in prefire be kept if prefire returns false?
Computation that does not affect the output can be done in postfire.
State management

If state needs to be changed in order to compute output, it needs to be shadowed.

- safe state management
  - referentially transparent expressions
  - no aliasing of stateful structures
The division between fire and postfire can be expressed in Caltrop (btw, this is a non-CalCore transformation) using action tags and selectors.
State management

actor B ()
    Double Input ==> Double Output:

    Integer n := 0; Integer n$shadow;
    Double sum := 0; Double sum$shadow;

    fire: action [a] ==> [sum$shadow / n$shadow] :
        n$shadow := n; sum$shadow := sum;
        n$shadow := n$shadow + 1;
        sum$shadow := sum$shadow + a;
    end

    postfire: action ==> :
        n := n$shadow; sum := sum$shadow;
    end

    selector (fire+ postfire)* end
end
Things we need to do...
Short term “grunt” work 😞

- well-formedness checks
- type system, type checking
- split-phase analyses
- interpreter (+ wrapper for Pt/Java)
- optimizations, big and small
- other transformers, annotators
Fun mid-term projects

- static analysis of actor properties (data rates, dependency on state, parameters, input, ...)
- relation to MoC (e.g. BDF)
- computing interface automata from actor descriptions
- code generators to other platforms and languages
- code generation for composite actors?
Even funner long-term projects 😊😊

• generic code generation framework
  – maybe based on Calif?

• extending the language
  – higher-order constructs
  – domains/directors+receivers

• a formal semantics, a framework for actor analysis
Caltrop resources

www.gigascale.org/caltrop

Meeting:
Tuesdays, 1:30pm,
DOP Center Library
(We need Caltroopers!)
Thanks.